Pulpotomy in permanent teeth

Background

Pulpotomies are primarily carried out as a measure to maintain vitality of juvenile permanent teeth whose roots have not yet fully developed. The indications range from partial pulpitis to complex crown fractures to pulp polyps [7]. This therapy is usually not applied in permanent teeth with fully developed roots and irreversible pulpitis, due to the alleged poor regenerative capacity of the pulp. In this case, a pulpectomy is the treatment of choice. In the last few years, several studies were published that investigated the success rates of pulpotomies as a measure to maintain vitality of permanent teeth, specifically that of teeth with carious-exposed pulps and pain symptoms.

A new therapy approach pursued by some authors is the conservation of vitality of teeth with pulpitis. The following provides a short overview of the up-to-date literature on this topic. Though, currently, only very few studies exist on the therapeutic success of a pulpotomy as an alternative to a pulpectomy in permanent teeth with fully developed roots.

Statement

Reviews

Aguiar et al. [1] compared the success rate of partial pulpotomies, coronal pulpotomies and direct capping. They were able to show that direct capping is inferior in therapeutic effect to both types of pulpotomy due to its large variations of success rate. The partial pulpotomy showed success rates of 94.6% in teeth with open root apices and 90.6% in teeth with closed root apices. This was higher than the success rates in teeth treated with a coronal pulpotomy, which were 91.4% and 85.9%, respectively.

In 2014, Yazdani et al. [10] published a “Health technology assessment” on pulpotomies with calcium enriched mixture (CEM) in permanent teeth with irreversible pulpitis. They assessed the shortterm and longterm success of the treatment, as well as the organizational and economical aspects of the procedure. In addition, the reliability of the method was examined in comparison to a root canal treatment. In summary, authors evaluated the pulpotomy as a valid method with high therapeutic success similar to a root canal procedure. Therefore, from a socio-economic viewpoint, the authors concluded that pulpotomy is preferable to a pulpectomy.

Akhlaghi et al. [2] investigated the therapeutic success of 4 different approaches of maintaining pulp vitality: indirect capping, direct capping, partial pulpotomy and coronal pulpotomy. No inclusion or exclusion criteria were defined for the studies selected. The partial pulpotomy with subsequent pulp capping using calcium hydroxide presented a success rate of 91–100% after 2 years. With regard to the clinical outcome, the results did not differ significantly to those of the partial pulpotomy with mineral trioxide aggregate (MTA; 95.2–99.8%). The 2-year success rate of the coronal pulpotomy was similar at 87.5–100% (calcium hydroxide) and 90–100% (MTA).

Alqaderi et al. [3] examined the success rate of coronal pulpotomies in vital permanent teeth with fully developed roots and carious-exposed pulp. On average, the success rates were over 90%. In addition, the impact of direct capping materials and restorative materials on treatment success was analyzed. The results showed higher success rates for MTA (95% after 1 year; 93% after 2 years) than for calcium hydroxide (92% after 1 year; 88% after 2 years). Teeth that were treated with amalgam fillings showed better results after 1 year (success rate of 95%) but worse results after 2 years (success rate of 92%) in comparison to teeth that were treated with composite fillings (success rates of 91% after 1 year and 93% after 2 years). Clinical studies

Asgary et al. [4] published a retrospective study on pulpotomies with subsequent calcium hydroxide pulp capping as an alternative to root canal treatment. Pulpotomies were performed in 567 permanent teeth with symptomatic or asymptomatic pulpitis (carious-exposed pulp, no pain symptoms). 273 cases were evaluated with a follow-up after 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 10 years. The success rates were 89% after 1 year, 75% after 5 years and 63% after 10 years.

Asgary et al. [6] investigated the impact of various capping materials on the success rate of pulpotomies. A coronal pulpotomy with subsequent pulp capping using MTA or calcium-enriched mixture (CEM) was performed in 244 permanent molars that showed the clinical signs of an irreversible pulpitis (patients aged 9–65 years). Following treatment, the teeth were sealed tempo-
rarily. After 7 days, they were re-
stored with amalgam fillings. The
clinical success rate of teeth restored
with MTA was 98.9% after 2 years
and 98.1% after 5 years.

Taha et al. [9] treated 50 vital
permanent molars (patient age < 20 years) with fully developed
roots, deep carious lesions and clinical
signs of irreversible pulpitis (pro-
vided that hemostasis was possible
within 6 minutes after pulp expo-
sure). 27 teeth were treated with
white ProRoot MTA (Dentsply, Tulsa
OK) and 23 teeth were treated with
a calcium hydroxide compound
(Dycal, Dentsply Caulk, Milford,
DE). The success rates of MTA after
6 months, 1 year and 2 years were
at 84%, 83% and 85%, respec-
tively. The success rates of calcium
hydroxide compound (Dycal) after
6 months, 1 year and 2 years were
62%, 55% and 43%, respectively.
Therefore, the authors recommend
MTA as suitable capping material.

Qudeimat et al. [8] achieved a
success rate of 100% in their study
of pulpotomy in permanent molars
of children and teenagers (medi-
um age: 10.7 ± 1.7 years). All teeth
treated showed clinical signs of irre-
versible pulpitis. According to the
authors, 78% of teeth showed radio-
graphic periapical lesions.

Case report
Asgary et al. [5] performed a pulpot-
ome with CEM on two maxillary
molars (14 and 15; Universal Num-
bering System) of a 36-year old pa-
tient with irreversible pulpitis in-
stead of pulpectomy and subsequent
root canal treatment. Afterwards, the
teeth were restored with amalgam
restorations. After 2 years both teeth
were asymptomatic and showed
neither clinical nor radiographic
signs of inflammation.

Conclusion
Summarising the results of the pres-
ent studies, it can be stated that
pulpotomies in permanent teeth
with carious-exposed pulp might be
a valid alternative treatment option.
From the perspective of restorative
dentistry, maintaining vitality of
such teeth would be desirable con-
sidering the anticipated clinical
benefit (preservation of structural in-
tegrity). Nevertheless, the valid clin-
ical assessment of the pulp con-
dition, or rather the extent of in-
flammation is a methodical difficul-
ty that affects the possibility of suc-
cess. Furthermore, the endodontic
treatment after failure could be
made difficult due to new formation
of dentin in the area of root canal
orifices.

Figure 1A–D Exemplary clinical procedure; A: Bleeding after pulpotomy under rubber
dam; B: Hemostasis; C: Cover with calcium hydroxide-containing mixture; D: Cover
with glass ionomer cement and application of adhesive
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